## Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

## **Environmental Notification Form**

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13282 MEPA Analyst**Aick ZAvolAS** Phone: 617-626-1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:						
Echo Hill South Association Ponds						
Street:off Stony Hill Road						
Municipality: Amherst		Watershed: Connecticut River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 72° 28' 38.92" W				
		Longitude: 42°	21' 57.10" N			
Estimated commencement date: July 2004		Estimated completion date: August 2005				
Approximate cost: \$25,000		Status of project design: 100% %complete				
Proponent: Echo Hill South Associa	tion, Inc.					
Street: c/o Robert Tuthill, P.O. Box 852						
Municipality: Amherst			Zip Code: 01	004		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Karro A. Frost	·					
Firm/Agency: New England Environmental,		Street: 9 Research Dr.				
inc.						
Municipality: Amherst		State: MA	Zip Code: 01			
Phone: (413) 256-0202	Fax: (4	13) 256-1092	E-mail: kfrost@	@neeinc.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mar	`	R threshold (see 30 <sup>,</sup> Yes		⊴No		
		Yes (EOEA No	)	<b>∑</b> No		
Has any project on this site been filed w	vith MEPA ☐`	.before? Yes (EOEA No	) [2	∐No		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting:  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes		⊴No ⊴No ⊴No ⊴No		
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of ful				ılth, including		
Are you requesting coordinated review			, regional, or loca ⊡No	al agency?		
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals: <u>No</u>	tice of Intent (filed	<u>2/25/04)</u>			
	<u>US</u>	ACOE Permit (file	d 3/1/04)			
	40	1 Water Quality Ce	ertification (filed 2	2/25/04)		

Which ENF or EIR review thresh Land Water Energy ACEC	nold(s) does th Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	es 🖂 ' r 🔲	Wetlands, W Transportat Solid & Haz	vaterways, & Fidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts	i			Approvals
Total site acreage	AND 20.9 acres			<ul><li>Order of Conditions</li><li>Superseding Order of Conditions</li></ul>
New acres of land altered		1.5 acres		☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0 acres	0 acres	0 acres	401 Water Quality  Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0 SF		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		56,830 SF		<ul><li>☐ Water Management</li><li>Act Permit</li><li>☐ New Source Approval</li></ul>
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0 acres		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STR	UCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	N/A			(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units	N/A			
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A			
TRANS	PORTATIO	N		
Vehicle trips per day	N/A			
Parking spaces	N/A			
WATER/	WASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A			
GPD water withdrawal	N/A			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the presources to any purpose not in accomplex (Specify:) Now will it involve the release of any confestriction, or watershed preservation.  Yes (Specify:) Now will be preservation.	cordance with Ar lo aservation restriction? on restriction?	ticle 97?	tion restriction	n, agricultural preservation
RARE SPECIES: Does the project Rare Species, or Exemplary Natura	il Communities?	mated Habitat	of Rare Spec	ies, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?  [Yes (Specify:)
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify:)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify:)   No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site,
(b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

(a) Project Site: The project site is three man-made ponds located to the north of Stony Hill Road and west of Cranberry Lane in Amherst, MA. The ponds have filled in since their creation in the early 1900's, and the Lower or southern-most pond becomes two ponds during low flow conditions. An unnamed stream flows into the Upper Pond, which then overflows to the Middle and Lower Ponds.

## (b) On and off-site alternatives:

The primary purposes of this project is to improve the water quality and restore the two ponds to their original conditions. As such, other than the first alternative listed below, and a no-work alternative, there are no other off-site alternatives.

One alternative to accomplish this goal is for the project proponents to work with both the Town of Amherst DPW and the Town of Pelham DPW to make changes in the handling of stormwater which flows into the ponds and their upstream watershed, which they are doing. However, improvements in water quality flowing into the ponds will not remove the sediment which has already started to fill these ponds with sediment.

A second alternative is to search the watershed for actively eroding areas to be stabilized. Most of the land surrounding the ponds has been disturbed in the past but is now stable. There are no active eroding sites within the watershed.

A no-work alternative will allow the ponds to continue to fill with sediment, gradually become Bordering Vegetated Wetlands, and shrink in size.

Another alternative to increase the depths of the ponds would be to construct dams at the pond outlets to raise the water levels within the ponds which would potentially increase the likelihood that these ponds would be able to support fish. This alternative does not provide any improvements to the water quality of the ponds.

The proposed dredging alternative will restore the original pond depths and allow fisheries to be restored in the ponds. It will also remove nutrient laden sediment from the pond systems and provide a mechanism for reducing sediments from entering the ponds with the sediment trap in the Upper Pond at the inlet stream.

(c) Potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative: No potential mitigation measures have been identified. The two wetland resource areas which will be impacted, Bank and Land Under Water, will remain at the site. Vegetated aquatic shelves, approximately 5 feet wide, will be left near the pond shore in the Upper Pond, and in a section of the Lower Pond. The aquatic shelves will provide food and sheltering habitat for wildlife using the ponds. The Middle Pond is predominantly vegetated with Reed Canary Grass on the Bank and into the shallow water at the pond edges. Given its aggressively invasive nature, this species is proposed to be removed where it occurs in the Lower and Middle Ponds.